

Generating anisotropic rotating fluids from vacuum Ernst equations

Stefano Viaggiu

Dipartimento di Matematica Università di Roma “Tor Vergata”,
Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1, I-00133 Roma, Italy

E-mail: viaggiu@mat.uniroma2.it
(or: stefano.viaggiu@ax0rm1.roma1.infn.it)

7th February 2008

Abstract

We obtain rotating anisotropic fluids starting with any vacuum stationary axisymmetric metric. With the help of the Ernst method, the basic equations are derived, together with the expression for the energy-momentum tensor and with the equation of state compatible with the field equations. In principle, we can obtain source matter satisfying all three energy conditions, provided that the parameters of the solutions are chosen appropriately. Further, the method is presented by using different coordinate systems: the cylindrical coordinates ρ, z , the quasi-spherical coordinates and the oblate spheroidal ones. Moreover, we study the energy conditions when matching conditions with an exterior solution are considered. Finally, a class of interior solutions in oblate spheroidal coordinates is found matching with any stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat vacuum solution.

Keyword Anisotropic pressure . Ernst equations . Interior solutions

Introduction

In the literature many solutions generating technique exist. Ehlers [1] first showed how it is possible to construct new stationary exterior solutions and interior ones starting from static vacuum solutions applying certain conformal transformations to auxiliary metrics defined on three-dimensional manifolds. These are one-parameter family of solutions. Geroch [2] showed

that one can obtain an infinite-parameter family. Further, Xanthopoulos [3] gave a technique for generating from any one-parameter family of vacuum solutions a two-parameter one. Physically, is very important to find interior solutions describing isolated rotating fluids. Methods have been developed [4, 10] to build a physically admissible source for a rotating body, but a complete spacetime for an isolated body is still lacking. Particularly interesting is a technique due a Stephani [11] for generating perfect fluid solutions by using a technique similar to the vacuum generating method presents in [12, 13]. The equations of state compatible with this method are $\epsilon = p$, $\epsilon + 3p = 0$. This techinque also applied in [14] and generalized [15] to anisotropic fluids.

Anisotropic fluids are having an increasing interest since they are considered physically reasonable and appropriate in systems with higher density and therefore for very compact objects as the core of neutron stars. Anisotropic fluids have been studied, for example, in [16, 19].

In this paper we present a simple technique to obtain anisotropic rotating fluids from any vacuum solution of Ernst [20] equations. A similar technique to the one outlined in this paper has been used [21] in the cosmological context with two spacelike killing vectors, to generate inhomogeneous cosmological solutions.

The plan of this paper is the following. In section 1 we write down the basic equations. In section 2 the energy momentum tensor is studied together with a discussion on the energy conditions [22].

In section 3 we analyze a class of solutions available with our method.

Section 4 collects some final remarks.

1 Basic equations

Our starting point is the line element for a stationary axisymmetric space-time:

$$ds^2 = e^v \left[(dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right] + l d\phi^2 + 2md\phi dt - f dt^2, \quad (1)$$

with:

$$l = l(x^1, x^2) \ , \ m = m(x^1, x^2) \ , \ f = f(x^1, x^2), \quad (2)$$

where x^1, x^2 are spatial coordinates, $x^3 = \phi$ is an angular coordinate and $x^4 = t$ is a time coordinate. Further, we have [23, 24]:

$$fl + m^2 = \rho^2, \quad (3)$$

where ρ is the radius in a cylindrical coordinate system. With the only non-vanishing components of the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$ given by

$T_{11}, T_{22}, T_{33}, T_{34}, T_{44}$, the field equations $R_{\mu\nu} = -(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{2}T)$, where $T = g^{\mu\nu}T_{\mu\nu}$, are:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{11} &= \frac{1}{2}(v_{11} + v_{22}) + \frac{\rho_{11}}{\rho} - \frac{v_1\rho_1}{2\rho} + \frac{v_2\rho_2}{2\rho} - \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(f_1l_1 + m_1^2) = \\ &= \frac{e^v}{2}T - T_{11}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{22} &= \frac{1}{2}(v_{11} + v_{22}) + \frac{\rho_{22}}{\rho} + \frac{v_1\rho_1}{2\rho} - \frac{v_2\rho_2}{2\rho} - \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(f_2l_2 + m_2^2) = \\ &= \frac{e^v}{2}T - T_{22}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$R_{12} = \frac{\rho_{12}}{\rho} - \frac{v_2\rho_1}{2\rho} - \frac{v_1\rho_2}{2\rho} - \frac{1}{4\rho^2}\Pi' = 0, \quad (6)$$

$$R_{33} = \frac{e^{-v}}{2} \left[\tilde{\nabla}^2 l + \frac{l}{\rho^2}(f_1l_1 + f_2l_2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2) \right] = \frac{l}{2}T - T_{33}, \quad (7)$$

$$R_{34} = \frac{e^{-v}}{2} \left[\tilde{\nabla}^2 m + \frac{m}{\rho^2}(f_1l_1 + f_2l_2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2) \right] = \frac{m}{2}T - T_{34}, \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R_{44} &= \frac{e^{-v}}{2} \left[-\tilde{\nabla}^2 f - \frac{f}{\rho^2}(f_1l_1 + f_2l_2 + m_1^2 + m_2^2) \right] = \\ &= -\frac{f}{2}T - T_{44}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi' &= f_1l_2 + f_2l_1 + 2m_1m_2, \\ \tilde{\nabla}^2 &= \partial_{\alpha\alpha}^2 - \frac{\rho_\alpha}{\rho}\partial_\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

A summation over α is implicit in (10) with $\alpha = 1, 2$, i.e. x^1, x^2 and subindices denote partial derivatives.

From (4) and (5) we obtain:

$$-\frac{\rho_{11}}{\rho} + \frac{\rho_{22}}{\rho} + \frac{v_1\rho_1}{\rho} - \frac{v_2\rho_2}{\rho} + \frac{1}{2\rho^2}\Sigma' = T_{11} - T_{22}, \quad (11)$$

where:

$$\Sigma' = f_1l_1 - f_2l_2 + m_1^2 - m_2^2 \quad (12)$$

From equations (6) and (11) we can obtain a first order differential system for v . In the vacuum (4), (5), (6) reduce to two independent equations. In fact, equation $R_{11} + R_{22} = 0$ becomes an identity when equations (3), (4), (11) and (7)-(9) are used. Therefore, the relevant equations for the vacuum

are (6) and (11), i.e. $R_{22} - R_{11}$, together with (7)-(9).

Since equations (7)-(9), in the vacuum, permit to know f, l, m , thus equations (6) and (11) can be completely solved. Conversely, when matter is present, equations (4)-(6) do not reduce to two independent equations. Therefore, an equation can be obtained adding (4) with (5). Further, the vacuum equations, i.e. (4)-(9) with $T_{\mu\nu} = 0$, imply that:

$$\rho_{\alpha\alpha} = 0. \quad (13)$$

Condition (13) is retained also in the presence of matter since it is an assumption greatly simplifying the calculations.

Finally, we get:

$$v_1 = c - \frac{[\rho_1 \Sigma' + \rho_2 \Pi']}{2\rho(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)} + \frac{\rho\rho_1(T_{11} - T_{22})}{(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}, \quad (14)$$

$$v_2 = d + \frac{[\rho_2 \Sigma' - \Pi' \rho_1]}{2\rho(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)} - \frac{\rho\rho_2(T_{11} - T_{22})}{(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}, \quad (15)$$

$$v_{11} + v_{22} - \frac{1}{2\rho^2}(f_\alpha l_\alpha + m_\alpha^2) = T e^v - T_{11} - T_{22}, \quad (16)$$

$$c = \frac{[2\rho_{12}\rho_2 + \rho_1(\rho_{11} - \rho_{22})]}{(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}, \quad d = \frac{[2\rho_{12}\rho_1 - \rho_2(\rho_{11} - \rho_{22})]}{(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}, \quad (17)$$

$$e^{-v}[\tilde{\nabla}^2 f + \frac{f}{\rho^2}(f_\alpha l_\alpha + m_\alpha^2)] = fT + 2T_{44}, \quad (18)$$

$$e^{-v}[\tilde{\nabla}^2 l + \frac{l}{\rho^2}(f_\alpha l_\alpha + m_\alpha^2)] = lT - 2T_{33}, \quad (19)$$

$$e^{-v}[\tilde{\nabla}^2 m + \frac{m}{\rho^2}(f_\alpha l_\alpha + m_\alpha^2)] = mT - 2T_{34}. \quad (20)$$

Our aim is to take advantage of the Ernst method [20] for the vacuum to find solutions of the system (14)-(20). To this purpose, the simplest assumption we can make is:

$$lT - 2T_{33} = 0, \quad (21)$$

$$mT - 2T_{34} = 0, \quad (22)$$

$$2T_{44} + fT = 0. \quad (23)$$

In this way, equations (18)-(20) are the vacuum ones, hence they permit to calculate f, m, l . Therefore we can obtain an interior solution with the same two metric, spanned by Killing vectors ∂_t and ∂_ϕ , of the vacuum seed metric. The equation (16) can be rewritten, with the help of (14)-(15). We

obtain:

$$Te^v - T_{11} - T_{22} = \frac{(\rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2)(T_{11} - T_{22}) + \rho\rho_1(T_{11} - T_{22})_1 - \rho\rho_2(T_{11} - T_{22})_2}{(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}. \quad (24)$$

Finally, we get the last equation from the integrability condition of (14)-(15), i.e. $v_{12} = v_{21}$. We read:

$$2\rho_2\rho_1(T_{11} - T_{22}) + \rho\rho_1(T_{11} - T_{22})_2 + \rho\rho_2(T_{11} - T_{22})_1 = 0. \quad (25)$$

To obtain equation (25), we have used the vacuum equations (18)-(20) for f, l, m together with (3).

At this point, we can use the Ernst method for the vacuum to write the field equations for f, m, l in a more appropriate way.

First of all, thanks to (3), we can eliminate l from the field equations and so the equation (19), with the help of (18) and (20), becomes an identity. Further, we introduce the functions γ, ω with $e^{2\gamma} = fe^v, m = f\omega$. After made these simplifications, we can introduce the Ernst potential Φ , where:

$$\Phi_1 = \frac{f^2}{\rho}\omega_2 \quad , \quad \Phi_2 = -\frac{f^2}{\rho}\omega_1. \quad (26)$$

When (26) is used, equation (20) is an identity. To obtain another field equation, we impose the integrability condition to (26), i.e. $\omega_{12} = \omega_{21}$. Concluding, the relevant equations are (24), (25) and (26) with:

$$f\nabla^2 f + \Phi_\alpha^2 - f_\alpha^2 = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$f\nabla^2 \Phi - 2f_\alpha \Phi_\alpha = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$\gamma_1 = -\frac{\rho_1 \Sigma + \rho_2 \Pi}{4\rho(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)} + \frac{c}{2} + \frac{\rho\rho_1(T_{11} - T_{22})}{2(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}, \quad (29)$$

$$\gamma_2 = \frac{\rho_2 \Sigma - \Pi\rho_1}{4\rho(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)} + \frac{d}{2} - \frac{\rho\rho_2(T_{11} - T_{22})}{2(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)}, \quad (30)$$

$$\Sigma = \frac{\rho^2}{f^2}(f_2^2 - f_1^2) + f^2[\omega_1^2 - \omega_2^2] \quad (31)$$

$$\Pi = -2\frac{\rho^2}{f^2}f_1 f_2 + 2f^2\omega_1 \omega_2, \quad (32)$$

$$2T_{44} + fT = 0, \quad (33)$$

$$\omega fT - 2T_{34} = 0, \quad (34)$$

$$\frac{(\rho^2 - \omega^2 f^2)}{f}T - 2T_{33} = 0, \quad (35)$$

where:

$$\nabla^2 = \partial_{\alpha\alpha}^2 + \frac{\rho_\alpha}{\rho} \partial_\alpha, \quad (36)$$

with the line element:

$$ds^2 = f^{-1} \left[e^{2\gamma} \left((dx^1)^2 + (dx^2)^2 \right) + \rho^2 d\phi^2 \right] - f(dt - \omega d\phi)^2. \quad (37)$$

The line element (37) is written in the so called Papapetrou gauge [23]. In practice, we obtain anisotropic fluids by “gauging” the metric function γ of the seed vacuum solution. Obviously, is always possible to build anisotropic spacetimes by varying the metric coefficients. But, in this manner, we obtain an energy momentum tensor with a non appealing expression. In fact, since $g_{12} = 0$, if $R_{12} \neq 0$, then $T_{\mu\nu}$ has the component $T_{12} \neq 0$, and this does not allow to write a simple expression for $T_{\mu\nu}$. Further, equation (6) is not easy to solve if f, ω are not solution of the vacuum Ernst equations. The next step is to specify the form of the energy momentum tensor.

2 Equation of state for the fluid

With the line element (37), we can cast $T_{\mu\nu}$ in the form:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = p_1 e_\mu^{(1)} e_\nu^{(1)} + p_2 e_\mu^{(2)} e_\nu^{(2)} + p_3 e_\mu^{(3)} e_\nu^{(3)} + \epsilon e_\mu^{(4)} e_\nu^{(4)}, \quad (38)$$

where ϵ is the mass-energy density, and p_1, p_2, p_3 are the principals stress and $e_\mu^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ is an orthogonal tetrad given by:

$$\begin{aligned} e_\mu^{(1)} &= \left[\frac{e^\gamma}{\sqrt{f}}, 0, 0, 0 \right], \\ e_\mu^{(2)} &= \left[0, \frac{e^\gamma}{\sqrt{f}}, 0, 0 \right], \\ e_\mu^{(3)} &= \left[0, 0, \sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{f} - f\omega^2}, \frac{f\omega}{\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{f} - f\omega^2}} \right], \\ e_\mu^{(4)} &= \left[0, 0, 0, \frac{\rho}{\sqrt{\frac{\rho^2}{f} - f\omega^2}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

With the tetrad (39), we have that the eigenvalues λ_a ($|T_{\mu\nu} - \lambda g_{\mu\nu}| = 0$) are: $\lambda_1 = p_1, \lambda_2 = p_2, \lambda_3 = p_3, \lambda_4 = -\epsilon$.

Furthermore, we must impose the energy conditions [22], that in our notations are, for the weak energy condition ($i = 1, 2, 3$):

$$-\lambda_4 \geq 0 \quad , \quad -\lambda_4 + \lambda_i \geq 0; \quad (40)$$

for the strong energy condition:

$$-\lambda_4 + \sum \lambda_i \geq 0 \quad , \quad -\lambda_4 + \lambda_i \geq 0; \quad (41)$$

and for the dominant energy condition:

$$-\lambda_4 \geq 0 \quad , \quad \lambda_4 \leq \lambda_i \leq -\lambda_4. \quad (42)$$

We can write the equations (33)-(35) in terms of the principals stress and of the mass-energy density. We obtain:

$$(\epsilon + p_3)(\rho^2 + f^2\omega^2) + (p_1 + p_2)(\rho^2 - f^2\omega^2) = 0, \quad (43)$$

$$\omega[-\epsilon + p_1 + p_2 - p_3] = 0, \quad (44)$$

$$(\rho^2 - \omega^2 f^2)(-\epsilon + p_1 + p_2 - p_3) = 0. \quad (45)$$

Equations (44) and (45) are equivalent. When (45) is put in (43), we have $p_1 = -p_2$, $\epsilon = -p_3$. Finally, starting with metric functions f, ω solutions of the vacuum Ernst equations (27)-(28), we can integrate equations (29)-(30), with:

$$p_1 = -p_2 = p \quad , \quad \epsilon = -p_3, \quad (46)$$

$$T_{11} - T_{22} = \frac{2p}{f} e^{2\gamma}, \quad (47)$$

$$(2\rho_2\rho_1 + \rho\rho_1\partial_2 + \rho\rho_2\partial_1)[T_{11} - T_{22}] = 0, \quad (48)$$

$$\frac{(\rho_1^2 - \rho_2^2 + \rho\rho_1\partial_1 - \rho\rho_2\partial_2)[T_{11} - T_{22}]}{(\rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2)} = \frac{e^{2\gamma}}{f}(p_3 - \epsilon). \quad (49)$$

A simple procedure to solve system (46)-(49) is the following. First of all, we must specify the initial coordinates by the relation with ρ, z . Further, we can integrate equation (48). This way we have calculated the function p as a function of γ , and of the known metric function f . Furthermore, the solution so obtained for $(T_{11} - T_{22})$ can be put in (49) to calculate ϵ , and therefore all the principals stress together with the mass-energy density are found in terms of γ and f . Finally, we can substitute the expression for $(T_{11} - T_{22})$ in (29)-(30) to calculate the metric function γ . The condition (48) guaranties the integrability of (29)-(30).

First of all, note that since equation (48) does not depend on γ , our method is self-consistent and thus equations for γ can be solved without ambiguity. Our method cannot describe perfect fluid solutions. In fact, for a perfect fluid solution $p_1 = p_2 = p_3$ and therefore $T_{11} - T_{22} = 0$. In this case, the field equations imply that $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = \epsilon = 0$. Therefore the only perfect fluid solution allowed with our method is the vacuum one.

Also note that no restrictions are made on f , and ω : they are only solutions of the vacuum Ernst equations. In the next section we present our method with some physically interesting examples.

3 Application of our method

3.1 Example one: cylindrical coordinates

Starting with the line element (37) with $x^1 = \rho, x^2 = z$, we have $\rho_1 = 1, \rho_2 = 0$. Perhaps the most simple solution of (48) one consider is $(T_{11} - T_{22}) = c$, with c a constant. This case, equations (46)-(49), and (29)-(30) can be easily integrated. Thus, starting with a seed vacuum metric with f, ω solutions of (26)-(28), we read:

$$p_\rho = p_\phi = p = -p_z = -\epsilon, \quad (50)$$

$$p = c \frac{f}{2e^{2\gamma}} \quad , \quad e^{2\gamma} = e^{2\gamma_0} e^{\frac{c}{2}\rho^2}, \quad (51)$$

where γ_0 denotes the vacuum solution.

The energy conditions (40)-(42) follow for $c < 0$. For $c = 0$ we regain the seed vacuum solution.

If we want interior solutions matching to exterior vacuum ones, we need of solutions with a stationary surface where the hydrostatic pressure is zero.

To such a purpose, we see that, in the chosen coordinates, the most general solution for (48) is:

$$T_{11} - T_{22} = F(\rho), \quad (52)$$

where $F(\rho)$ is an arbitrary differentiable function.

An interesting class of anisotropic fluids can be obtained from the vacuum Lewis metrics [24]. The first interior Lewis solutions has been found in [25]. A general perfect fluid solution can been found in [26]. For a discussion concerning the physical interpretation of the four constants of the Lewis solution when matched to anisotropic fluid see [27].

With the line element (37) the Lewis class of vacuum solutions is:

$$f = \frac{1}{(1-B^2)} \left[P^2 \rho^\psi - B^2 Q^2 \rho^{2-\psi} \right] , \quad \omega = \frac{B}{PQ} \frac{(Q^2 \rho^{2-\psi} - P^2 \rho^\psi)}{(P^2 \rho^\psi - B^2 Q^2 \rho^{2-\psi})},$$

$$e^{2\gamma_0} = \frac{\rho^{\frac{\psi^2}{2}-\psi}}{(1-B^2)} \left[P^2 \rho^\psi - B^2 Q^2 \rho^{2-\psi} \right] , \quad (53)$$

where B, P, Q and ψ are constants.

Choosing the function $F(\rho)$ and integrating (29)-(30), we obtain a class of anisotropic metrics.

At this point, it is interesting to see if we can found an expression for $F(\rho)$ such that we can match our interior solutions with the vacuum Lewis ones. Since the generating solutions have the same f, ω of the Lewis one, the continuity of the first and the second fundamental form [9, 10] on a surface with $\rho = R = \text{const.}$ with vanishing pressure, requires that:

$$\gamma_0(R) = \gamma(R) \quad , \quad \gamma_{0\rho}(R) = \gamma_\rho(R). \quad (54)$$

Conditions (54) can be easily fulfilled. Perhaps the most simple expression for $F(\rho)$ is: $F(\rho) = c(R - \rho)^{k^2+1}$, with c, k arbitrary constants ($|k| \neq 0$). This way, p, p_ϕ and ϵ are vanishing on $\rho = R$.

Unfortunately, this solution cannot satisfy the energy conditions. This is a general fact, provided that $F(\rho)$ is a regular function. To demonstrate this, we rewrite equation (49):

$$\epsilon = -\frac{f}{2e^{2\gamma}} (F + \rho F_\rho). \quad (55)$$

First, suppose that $F(0) < 0$. Then, energy conditions are satisfied only if

$$-F - \rho F_\rho \geq -F. \quad (56)$$

Expression (56) implies that $F_\rho \leq 0$, and thus F is decreasing in a neighbourhood of $\rho = 0$. But, in this way, F cannot be zero at some radius $\rho = R$. Conversely, suppose that $F(0) \geq 0$. To satisfy energy conditions we must have:

$$-\rho F_\rho \geq 2F. \quad (57)$$

Thus, from (57), F is decreasing in a neighbourhood of $\rho = 0$. But, if F is regular for $0 \leq \rho \leq R$, then from (57) we must have $F(0) = 0$, and therefore F cannot be zero at some radius R .

Concluding, the only way to satisfy the energy conditions is to choose F

positive and not regular on the axis. Equations (57) implies that, in a neighbourhood of $\rho = 0$, F must shown the following behaviour: $F(\rho) \geq \frac{1}{\rho^2}$. Perhaps the most simple class of solutions that one consider is:

$$F(\rho) = \frac{c}{\rho^{k^2+1}}(R - \rho)^{s^2+1}, \quad (58)$$

where $c > 0$ and $|k| \geq 1, |s| \geq 1$. It is easy to see that solution (68) satisfies all energy consitions and the boundary conditions (54). After integrating the equation for γ we get:

$$2\gamma = 2\gamma_0 + c \int \frac{(R - \rho)^{s^2+1}}{\rho^{k^2}} d\rho + z(k, s), \quad (59)$$

where $z(k, s)$ is an integration constant chosen to satisfy the first of equations (54). Generally, integral in (70) involves expressions in terms of hypergeometric functions.

The most simple example we can consider is:

$$F(\rho) = \frac{c}{\rho^2}(R - \rho)^2. \quad (60)$$

With (60), after integrating the field equations, our interior solutions, matching smoothly with the Lewis vacuum solutions and satisfying all energy conditions are:

$$\begin{aligned} p_\rho &= -p_z = p, \quad \epsilon = -p_\phi, \\ 2\gamma &= 2\gamma_0 + c \left[\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - 2\rho R + R^2 \ln(\rho) + \frac{3}{2}R^2 - R^2 \ln(R) \right], \\ p &= \frac{c}{2\rho^{(2+cR^2-\psi+\frac{\psi^2}{2})}}(R - \rho)^2 e^{-c[\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - 2\rho R + \frac{3}{2}R^2 - R^2 \ln(R)]}, \\ \epsilon &= \frac{c}{2\rho^{(2+cR^2-\psi+\frac{\psi^2}{2})}}(R^2 - \rho^2) e^{-c[\frac{1}{2}\rho^2 - 2\rho R + \frac{3}{2}R^2 - R^2 \ln(R)]}. \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Note that $\frac{\psi^2}{2} - \psi + cR^2 + 2$ can never be put to zero. Thus, expressions (61) for γ , p and ϵ are always (only) singular on the axis.

To conclude this subsection, we study the energy conditions for the general solution (52) without boundary surfaces. To this purpose, without loss of generality, we write equation (55) in a more expressive way, i.e.

$$F + \rho F_\rho = \Delta(\rho)F, \quad (62)$$

where Δ is an arbitrary function. Thus, we have:

$$F(\rho) = ce^{\int \rho^{-1}(\Delta-1)d\rho}. \quad (63)$$

We find:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{f}{2e^{2\gamma}} F(\rho) \\ p_\rho &= -p_z = p, \quad p_\phi = p\Delta(\rho), \quad \epsilon = -p\Delta(\rho) \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

The energy conditions (40)-(42) are satisfied if and only if $p < 0$, $\Delta \geq 1$ or $p > 0$, $\Delta \leq -1$: generally, these conditions can always be satisfied by choosing opportunely the integration constants. Further, if we choose a regular vacuum seed metric, then the generated solution is generally regular, provided that $F(\rho)$ be a regular function.

3.2 Example two: quasi-spherical coordinates

In this subsection we use quasi-spherical coordinates that in terms of the cylindrical ones are defined by: $\rho = e^u \cos \theta$, $z = e^u \sin \theta$, where $-\infty < u < \infty$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. To satisfy condition (13), we must use e^u instead of the more usual radial coordinate r ($r = e^u$). Obviously, after integrating the field equations, we can always take $e^u = r$. Equation (48), with $(T_{11} - T_{22}) = A(u, \theta)$, becomes:

$$\frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \frac{A_\theta}{A} - \frac{A_u}{A} = 2. \quad (65)$$

We give only two particular solutions of (65). The first is $A = ce^{-2u}$. Integrating the field equations we have:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{c}{2} f e^{(-2\gamma-2u)}, \quad p_u = p, \quad p_\theta = -p, \quad p_\phi = -p, \quad \epsilon = p, \\ 2\gamma &= 2\gamma_0 - \frac{c}{2} \cos^2 \theta e^{-2u}. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

For the solution (66) energy conditions are satisfied when $c > 0$. Note that, since $c > 0$, then, for a fixed θ , $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma_0$ when $u \rightarrow +\infty$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$). Another solution with similar features of (66) is given by $A = ce^{-3u} \cos \theta$. We read:

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{c}{2} e^{-3u-2\gamma} \cos \theta, \quad p_u = -p_\theta = p, \quad p_\phi = -2p, \quad \epsilon = 2p, \\ 2\gamma &= 2\gamma_0 - \frac{c}{3} \cos^3 \theta e^{-3u}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Energy conditions are satisfied for (67) if $c > 0$.

3.3 Example three: oblate spheroidal coordinates

We consider oblate spheroidal coordinates defined in terms of the cylindrical ones ρ, z as: $\rho = \cosh \mu \cos \theta$, $z = \sinh \mu \sin \theta$, where $0 \leq \mu < \infty$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. The simplest solution of (48) we give is:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{11} - T_{22} &= \frac{c}{\cosh^2 \mu}, \quad \epsilon = p = \frac{cf}{2e^{2\gamma}} \frac{1}{\cosh^2 \mu}, \\ 2\gamma &= 2\gamma_0 + c \left[-\ln(\cosh \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \ln(\cosh^2 \mu - \cos^2 \theta) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Energy conditions are satisfied for $c > 0$. Solution (68) has a ring singularity at $\mu = 0$, $\theta = 0$, i.e. $\rho = 1$, $z = 0$. Obviously, the nature of the singularity can be studied only when a seed vacuum solution is specified.

A little more sophisticated solution is given by:

$$T_{11} - T_{22} = c \frac{\cos^m \theta}{\cosh^{m+2} \mu}, \quad (69)$$

with m a constant.

Searching for metric describing isolated objects with a vanishing hydrostatic pressure surface, we have the following solution:

$$T_{11} - T_{22} = \frac{c(s^2 \cosh^2 \mu - k \cos^2 \theta - ks^2 \cos^2 \theta)}{\cosh^4 \mu}, \quad (70)$$

where k, s, c are arbitrary constants ($s > 0$).

Thus, we get:

$$p = \frac{f}{2e^{2\gamma}} \frac{1}{\cosh^4 \mu} (T_{11} - T_{22}), \quad p_\mu = -p_\theta = p, \quad \epsilon = -p_\phi, \quad (71)$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{fc}{2e^{2\gamma}} \frac{1}{\cosh^4 \mu} [s^2 \cosh^2 \mu - 3k(1 + s^2) \cos^2 \theta]. \quad (72)$$

Energy conditions can be fulfilled if $c > 0, k < 0$. But, in this way, p cannot be vanishing on some boundary surface. Remember that it is not necessary for p_ϕ to vanish at some surface to identify the boundary of the source region. To this purpose, we must take in (71)-(72) $c < 0, k > 0$. Hence, energy conditions are satisfied in the region where

$$\cosh \mu \leq \frac{\cos \theta}{s} \sqrt{2k(1 + s^2)}. \quad (73)$$

The surface of vanishing hydrostatic pressure is:

$$\cosh \mu = \frac{\cos \theta}{s} \sqrt{k(1+s^2)}. \quad (74)$$

Expression (74) represents effectively the equation of a surface only if:

$$\frac{s^2}{k(1+s^2)} < 1. \quad (75)$$

When $s^2 = k(1+s^2)$ the surface degenerate to a circle of radius $\rho = 1$ with $z = 0$ and it is not an interesting case.

Therefore, thanks to (73) and (75), in the region enclosed by the surface (74), energy conditions follow. The surface represented by (74) is a toroidal rotational surface with $\frac{s^2}{k(1+s^2)} \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{k(1+s^2)}{s^2}$. Integrating the equation for γ , we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma = & \frac{c}{2} \left[(s^2 - k(1+s^2)) \ln \left(\frac{\sqrt{\cosh^2 \mu - \cos^2 \theta}}{\cosh \mu} \right) - \frac{1}{2} k(1+s^2) \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{\cosh^2 \mu} \right] + \\ & + \gamma_0 + \frac{c}{2} \alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

with α a constant. Expression (76) has a ring singularity at $\mu = 0, \theta = 0$, i.e. $\rho = 1, z = 0$. Thanks to (75), this singularity lies always in the interior of the surface (74) ($\cosh \mu < \frac{\cos \theta}{s} \sqrt{k(1+s^2)}$). However, to understand the nature of this singularity, a seed vacuum metric must be specified. In this paper we do not enter in this discussion. However, it is a simple matter to verify that, by choosing

$$\alpha = \frac{s^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} (ks^2 + k - s^2) \ln \frac{(k + ks^2 - s^2)}{k(1+s^2)}, \quad (77)$$

we have, on the boundary S of (74):

$$\gamma_0(S) = \gamma(S), \quad \gamma_{0i}(S) = \gamma_i(S), \quad i = \mu, \theta. \quad (78)$$

Therefore, our interior metric is C^1 on the boundary surface S and thus it can be matched smoothly to any stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat solution with f, ω, γ_0 . Note that, thanks to (75), expression (77) is real. Further, the principal stress p_μ is always positive inside the matter region. As a title of example, we choose as seed metric the Kerr one. After writing

solution (76) in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates (see [28, 29]), we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= \Sigma \left(d\theta^2 + \frac{dr^2}{\Delta} \right) e^F + (r^2 + a^2) \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2 - dt^2 + \\
&+ \frac{2mr}{\Sigma} (dt + a \sin^2 \theta d\phi)^2, \\
\Sigma &= r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \theta, \quad \Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2mr, \\
F &= c \left[(s^2 - k(1 + s^2)) \ln \frac{\sqrt{\Delta - \sin^2 \theta}}{\sqrt{\Delta}} - \frac{1}{2} k(1 + s^2) \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{\Delta} + \alpha \right],
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

with α given by (77). The interior metric written in the Boyer-Lindquist coordinates can be extended for all values of the parameters a^2, m^2 allowed by Kerr solution. In particular, when $a^2 \leq m^2$ the surface of zero pressure $\Delta = \frac{k(1+s^2)}{s^2} \sin^2 \theta$ generally does not describe a toroidal surface, but a closed surface passing through z axis. Further, solution (79) can be defined when $\frac{\Delta - \sin^2 \theta}{\Delta} < 0$, provided that $\frac{c[s^2 - k(1+s^2)]}{2} = \frac{2n}{(2L+1)}$, where n, L are positive integers.

When $a^2 > m^2$, surface $\Delta = \frac{k(1+s^2)}{s^2} \sin^2 \theta$ becomes a toroidal rotational surface as (74).

It is interesting to note that the ring singularity of (76) disappears in the coordinates used in (79) for $a^2 > 1 + m^2$ ($\Delta > 1$). In this case, the only singularity for the global spacetime (both interior and exterior metric) is the ring of the vacuum Kerr solution. For

$$m^2 + 1 < a^2 < \frac{k(1 + s^2)}{s^2} \tag{80}$$

the Kerr ring lies in the matter region. Otherwise, the Kerr ring belongs to the vacuum exterior Kerr solution.

Finally, note that $\Sigma e^F = \frac{e^{2\gamma}}{f} > 0$, in such a way that energy conditions follow within the surface (74) ($c < 0, k > 0$).

As a last example, we consider the solution:

$$T_{11} - T_{22} = c \frac{(\cosh^2 \mu - \cos^2 \theta)}{\cosh^6 \mu} (s^2 \cosh^2 \mu - k \cos^2 \theta), \tag{81}$$

$$p = \frac{f}{2e^{2\gamma}} (T_{11} - T_{22}), \tag{82}$$

$$\epsilon = \frac{fc}{2e^{2\gamma} \cosh^6 \mu} [s^2 \cosh^4 \mu - 3(k + s^2) \cosh^2 \mu \cos^2 \theta + 5k \cos^4 \theta], \tag{83}$$

$$2\gamma = 2\gamma_0 + \frac{c}{2} \cos^2 \theta \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{k \cos^2 \theta}{\cosh^4 \mu} - \frac{s^2}{\cosh^2 \mu} \right] + c \frac{s^4}{4k}. \tag{84}$$

In this case the boundary surface S is:

$$\cosh \mu = \frac{\sqrt{k}}{s} \cos \theta. \quad (85)$$

Equation (85) is a toroidal rotational surface only if

$$\frac{k}{s^2} > 1, \quad (86)$$

and the region enclosed by (85) has boundary with

$$\frac{s^2}{k} \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{k}{s^2}. \quad (87)$$

Energy conditions follow in the interior of (85) ($s \cosh \mu < \sqrt{k} \cos \theta$) if and only if $c < 0$, $k > 0$ and

$$\frac{2k \cos^2 \theta}{(k + s^2)} \leq \cosh^2 \mu \leq \frac{k}{s^2} \cos^2 \theta. \quad (88)$$

Note that the boundary of the surface $\frac{2k \cos^2 \theta}{k + s^2} = \cosh^2 \mu$ is characterized by the following limits for ρ :

$$\frac{(k + s^2)}{2k} \leq \rho^2 \leq \frac{2k}{(k + s^2)}. \quad (89)$$

Therefore, thanks to (86), it is easy to see that the energy conditions cannot be satisfied at all the region enclosed in (85): these cannot be satisfied in the interior of the torus (85) with (89). In fact, with the condition (86), the region (89) is always enclosed in (85).

However, also in this case, expression (84) for γ satisfies conditions (78) on (85). Consequently, solution (84) can be joined smoothly to any stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat solution with f, ω, γ_0 .

Also in this case, we choose as seed vacuum metric the Kerr one. After writing solution (84) in Boyer Lindquist coordinates, we get the line element (79) with:

$$F = \frac{c \sin^2 \theta}{2\Delta} \left[\frac{k \sin^2 \theta}{2\Delta} - s^2 \right] + \frac{cs^4}{4k}. \quad (90)$$

Solution (90) represents an interior solution for Kerr metric with a region given by (89) filled with exotic matter and the remaining region filled with unusual but physically acceptable matter.

4 Conclusions

In this paper we have outlined a simple technique to obtain anisotropic fluids starting from any vacuum solution of the Ernst equations. In [15], anisotropic solutions with $\epsilon + p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = 0$ have been obtained with the help of Geroch [13] transformations applied to matter spacetimes. In this way, starting with matter spacetimes endowed with almost a Killing vector and with the appropriate equation of state, we can obtain a matter spacetime adding twist to the seed spacetime and with the equation of state with the matter parameters scaled by a common factor. The equations of state compatible with this technique are $3\epsilon + p_2 = 0$, $p_1 = p_3 = -\epsilon$ for a spacetime admitting a spacelike Killing vector, and $\epsilon = p_2$, $p_2 = -p_1 = -p_3$ for a spacetime with a timelike Killing vector. However, to apply our method, no seed matter spacetime is needed, but only vacuum stationary axially symmetric solutions. Moreover, with our approach, more geometries are allowed than the ones in [15].

Furthermore, when the static limit is taken, we have static anisotropic fluids starting from any static vacuum solution of Einstein's equations. We have analyzed the problem of joining the generating solutions with exterior vacuum ones. By using cylindrical coordinates, we have been able to match our anisotropic metrics with the vacuum Lewis solutions in such a way that all energy conditions are satisfied. The price to pay is that a singularity arises for the principal stress, the mass-energy density and for the metric on the axis. Finally, an interior solution with a ring singularity is obtained representing an isolated body with an “unusual” but physically acceptable equation of state matching with any stationary axisymmetric asymptotically flat solution.

As a final remark, note that the equation of state considered in this paper admits anisotropic negative pressure. This can be of some interest in the context of the dark energy problem. In fact, a possible explanation for an accelerating expanding universe can be related to the existence of matter with negative pressure. In this paper we have shown that matter with negative anisotropic pressure (in our case p_θ, p_ϕ) can be physically admissible and it can describe isolated bodies.

References

- [1] Ehlers, J.: Les Théories Relativistes de la Gravitation. Colloques Internationaux: CNRS, Paris **91**, 275 (1962)

- [2] Geroch, R.: J. Math. Phys. **13**, 394 (1972)
- [3] Xanthopoulos, B. C.: Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **365**, 381 (1979)
- [4] Florides, P.: Nuovo Cimento **B 13**, 1 (1973)
- [5] Gurses, M., Gursey, F.: J. Math. Phys. *16*, 2385 (1975)
- [6] Wahlquist, H.: Phys. Rev. **172 5**, 1291 (1968)
- [7] Herrera, L., Jimenez, D.: J. Math. Phys. **23(12)**, 2339 (1982)
- [8] Boyer, H. R., Price, T. G.: Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **61**, 531 (1965)
- [9] Israel, W.: Nuovo Cimento **44**, 1 (1966)
- [10] Israel, W.: Phys. Rev. D **2**, 641 (1970)
- [11] Stephani, H.: J. Math. Phys. **29**, 1650 (1988)
- [12] Harrison, B. K.: J. Math. Phys. **12**, 918 (1968)
- [13] Geroch, R. R.: J. Math. Phys. **12**, 918 (1971)
- [14] Garfinkle, D., Glass, E. N., Krisch, J. P.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **29**, 467 (1997) [gr-qc/9611052]
- [15] Krisch, J. P., Glass, E. N.: J. Math. Phys. **43**, 1509 (2002)
- [16] Herrera, L., Santos, N. O.: Phys. Rep. **286**, 53 (1997)
- [17] Herrera, L., Di Prisco A., Ospino, J., Fuenmayor, E.: J. Math. Phys. **42**, 2129 (2001)
- [18] Papakostas, T.: Int. J. Mod. Phys. D **10** 869, (2001)
- [19] Letelier, P. S.: Phys. Rev. D **22**, 807 (1980)
- [20] Ernst, F. J.: Phys. Rev. **167**, 5 (1968)
- [21] Belinsky, V. A.: Sov. Phys. JEPT **50** 623, (1979)
- [22] Hawking, S. W., Ellis, G. F. R.: The Large Scale structure of spacetime. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1973)
- [23] Papapetrou, V. A.: Ann. der. Physik., Lpz. **6**, 12 (1953)
- [24] Lewis, T.: Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. **136**, 176 (1932)

- [25] Van Stokum, W. J.: Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh **57**, 135 (1937)
- [26] Krasinski, A.: Acta. Phys. Polon. **B6**, 223 (1975)
- [27] Da Silva, M.F.A., Herrera, L., Paiva, F. M., Santos, N. O.: Gen. Rel. Grav. **27**, 859 (1995)
- [28] Boyer, R.H., Lindquist, R.W.: J. Math. Phys. **8**, 2 (1968)
- [29] Bergamini, R., Viaggiu, S.: Class. Quantum Grav. **21**, 4567 (2004)